1 2 3 4 5 6 7	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California KATHLEEN E. FOOTE – Cal Bar No. 6583 Senior Assistant Attorney General NICOLE S. GORDON – Cal Bar No. 224133 Deputy Attorney General SANGEETHA M. RAGHUNATHAN – Cal Deputy Attorney General EMILIO E. VARANINI – Cal Bar No. 1639 Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 703-5555 Fax: (415) 703-5480 E-Mail: emilio.varanini@doj.ca.gov	8 Bar No. 229129
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
10 11 12 13	Michael I. Spiegel – Cal Bar No. 32651 Wayne M. Liao – Cal Bar No. 66591 Charles M. Kagay – Cal Bar No. 73377 SPIEGEL LIAO & KAGAY, LLP 388 Market Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 956-5959 Fax: (415) 362-1431 E-Mail: cmk@slksf.com	
15	Attorneys for the State of California	
16	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18 19 20 21	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA et al., Plaintiffs, v. INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG et al., Defendants.	Case No. C 06-4333 PJH Related to MDL No. 1486 Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Allow Filing of Errata to the Expert Reply Report of Dr. Kenneth Flamm in Support of Motions for the Certification of Governmental Entity Classes
22		CAMBUUU
2324		
∠╅		

Case 4:06-cv-04333-PJH Document 383 Filed 04/15/08 Page 2 of 6

1	Plaintiffs the State of California, the City and County of San Francisco, the County		
2	of Santa Clara, the Los Angeles Unified School District, the State of New Mexico and the		
3	County of Sandoval (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Class Representatives") and		
	defendants Hynix Semiconductor, Inc. and Hynix Semiconductor America, Inc., on behalf		
4	of all defendants, stipulate and agree that Class Representatives may file the Errata to the		
5	Expert Reply Report of Dr. Kenneth Flamm in Support of Motions for the Certification of		
6	Governmental Entity Classes, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto and		
7	designated Exhibit A.		
8	Dated: April 9, 2008 EDMUND G. BROWN JR.		
9	Attorney General of the State of California KATHLEEN E. FOOTE		
	Senior Assistant Attorney General EMILIO E. VARANINI		
10	Deputy Attorney General NICOLE S. GORDON		
11	Deputy Attorney General SANGEETHA RAGHUNATHAN		
12	Deputy Attorney General		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
14	SPIEGEL LIAO & KAGAY, LLP		
15			
	By: /s/ Charles M. Kagay Charles M. Kagay		
16	Attorneys for the State of California		
17	By: /s/ Steven H. Bergman		
18	Steven H. Bergman O'Melveny & Myers LLP Counsel for Defendants:		
19	Hynix Semiconductor, Inc. and Hynix Semiconductor America, Inc.		
20	Hymx Semiconductor America, inc.		
21	I, Charles M. Kagay, attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has		
	been obtained from each of the other signatories.		
22	Signatories.		
23	By: <u>/s/ Charles M. Kagay</u> Charles M. Kagay		
24	Charles W. Kagay		
	2		

ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES IS IT SO ORDERED.

Dated: April ________, 2008

IT IS SO ORDERED

Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton

DISTRICT OF CH

Case 4:06-cv-04333-PJH Document 383 Filed 04/15/08 Page 4 of 6

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.		
	Attorney General of the State of California		
2	KATHLEEN E. FOOTE – Cal Bar No. 65819		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General NICOLE S. GORDON – Cal Bar No. 224138		
3	Deputy Attorney General	8	
4	SANGEETHA M. RAGHUNATHAN – Cal	Bar No. 229129	
	Deputy Attorney General		
5			
	Deputy Attorney General		
6	455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102		
7			
	Fax: (415) 703-5480		
8	E-Mail: emilio.varanini@doj.ca.gov		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
10	Michael I. Spiegel – Cal Bar No. 32651		
11	Wayne M. Liao – Cal Bar No. 66591 Charles M. Kagay – Cal Bar No. 73377		
11	SPIEGEL LIAO & KAGAY, LLP		
12	388 Market Street, Suite 900		
	San Francisco, California 94111		
13	Telephone: (415) 956-5959 Fax: (415) 362-1431		
14	E-Mail: cmk@slksf.com		
15	Attorneys for the State of California		
16	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18			
10	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA et al.,	Case No. C 06-4333 PJH	
19	D1-:4:66-	Related to MDL No. 1486	
	Plaintiffs, v.	Errata to the Expert Reply Report of	
20		Dr. Kenneth Flamm in Support of	
21	INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG et al.,	Motions for the Certification of Governmental Entity Classes	
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
		1	

On March 19, 2008, plaintiffs the State of California, the City and County of San Francisco, the County of Santa Clara, the Los Angeles Unified School District, the State of New Mexico and the County of Sandoval filed the Expert Reply Report of Dr. Kenneth Flamm in Support of Motions for the Certification of Governmental Entity Classes. Following the filing of the Expert Reply Report, Dr. Flamm discovered that the report contains several typographical errors that should be corrected. These errors and their corresponding corrections are as follows:

- 1. Page 7, footnote 3, lines 1-2, which state "Steven Appleton, CEO of Micron Technology, testifying before the U.S. International Trade Commission on **June 23**, **2003**", should be corrected to state "Steven Appleton, CEO of Micron Technology, testifying before the U.S. International Trade Commission on **June 24**, **2003**".
- 2. Page 7, footnote 3, lines 6-8, which state "Farhad Tabrizi of Hynix, testifying on **June 23, 2002**: 'On an average, we can reduce the cost or price by about 40 percent per year.' USITC Hearing of **June 23, 2002**, at page 255, lines 14-16", should be corrected to state "Farhad Tabrizi of Hynix, testifying on **June 24, 2003**: 'On an average, we can reduce the cost or price by about 40 percent per year.' USITC Hearing of **June 24, 2003**, at page 255, lines 14-16."
- 3. Page 24, line 3, which states "PCs would not have been perfectly **elastic**", should be corrected to state "PCs would not have been perfectly **inelastic**".
- 4. Page 32, lines 21-22, which state "It is indeed much larger than producers' **gross** profit margins on these DRAM-using products", should be corrected to state "It is indeed much larger than producers' **net** profit margins on these DRAM-using products".
- 5. Page 43, in the table entitled "Low and High End Entities in California Use Similar Purchase Mechanisms for IT Products," the entry "Highway Patrol Districts" (the sixth entry in the first column) should be followed immediately by a footnote which states "CA Highway Patrol procurement is not identifiable by district in reports reviewed."
- 6. Page 44, in the table entitled "Low and High End Entities in New Mexico Use Similar Purchase Mechanisms for IT Products," the entry "State Police" (the sixth entry in

Case 4:06-cv-04333-PJH Document 383 Filed 04/15/08 Page 6 of 6